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**Welcome to the September meeting of the Dr. Harold C. Deutsch World War II History Round Table.** Tonight’s speaker is LTCSharon Tosi Lacey, author of *Pacific Blitzkrieg: World War II in the Central Pacific*. She is joined by veterans of the Pacific landing operations who will discuss their experiences.

Knocked on its heels by the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, the United States entered the war with a lot of war plans but no overarching strategic protocol. President Roosevelt’s “Germany First” policy flew in the face of both the American people’s anger at Japan, and strategic realities. An invasion of the European continent could not occur until 1943 at the earliest, and the Japanese were not going to wait for the Americans. If we wanted to stop the Japanese, we had to do it quickly, with a **combined effort**.† US Naval victories at Midway and the Coral Sea, that kept the sea lines of communication and supply open to Australia, were the base for offensives against Japan. *†Combined effort/operations - land, sea, and/or air operating as a team. Necessitated in the Pacific Ocean theaters by geographic realities.*

American forces in the Pacific took up the *blitzkrieg* concept traditionally associated with the European Theater, especially the German offensives of 1940. *Blitzkrieg*, “lightning war”, on a strategic level came to mean a series of short decisive battles to defeat the enemy before they could recover, while on a tactical level the word evolved to mean a fast-moving combined of infantry, armor, and aircraft to overwhelm an enemy. Uniting the speed of attack transports and fast carrier airpower, land-based aircraft, and powerful light infantry forces, the Americans ‘perfected’ *blitzkrieg* warfare in their assault on the Japanese Empire.

Combined arms\* warfare is very complicated in practice, especially when it involved two tradition bound services: the US Marine Corps and the US Army. Working together in the 2nd Division in World War I gave Marines more familiarity with the army than the army retained of them. After the war the two services’ missions diverged. The Marines remained a light infantry focused on amphibious operations and became an elite force. The Army mechanization into a heavy infantry force. Fortuitously, Marine doctrine favored the Pacific realities while army doctrine better fit Europe’s realities. *\*Different from today’s meaning of multinational cooperation; it is roughly today’s “joint operations.”*

Command personalities sometimes interfered with the overall mission of combined forces. The best example of this was the Smith-Smith controversy. Marine Corps General Holland M. “Howling Mad” Smith (considered to be the father of amphibious warfare) was one of the most polarizing commanders in the Pacific. Charged with the training of army personnel for amphibious operations, he held anyone not a Marine in contempt and gave little praise to any “doggy.” Obvious at Guadalcanal, Smith’s attitude came to a head at Saipan when he removed Army General Ralph Smith, from command of the 27th Division, claiming that he was a “weak officer, incapable of handling men under his command.” Ralph Smith had won two Silver Stars in World War I and knew combat command, and his men respected him. While accusing the 27th Division of a lack of fighting spirit, Holland Smith excused same behavior by Marine units as battle fatigue.

However disparate their styles might be, the American forces quickly learned to work together to achieve their common goal of defeating the Japanese. Perhaps the singular beauty of the Pacific operations, certainly the key to the successful American military leadership, was their ability to learn from each battle and build on it. Some might say that the essence of great leadership is not merely audacity but also a willingness to learn and to adapt to the circumstances present on the battlefield.

The “island hopping” strategy of central Pacific made adaptation to geography essential. Marines refined the amphibious landing techniques that both the Corps and the army used under the umbrella of air superiority and close air support to gain the lodgment base for land operations. Repeated island to island, tactical and logistical lessons had to be rapidly implemented as the forces prepared for the next invasion. The result was an effective *blitzkrieg* strategy that overwhelmed the Japanese.

The first test of combined operations was Guadalcanal, which brought together all aspects land, sea, and air power. Often times hanging on by a thread, American forces defeated the Japanese, while both sides fought the true enemy — malaria. Both the 1st MARDIV and the army follow-on forces suffered from a lack of training before landing on Guadalcanal, but learned quickly in the crucible of combat. All major Pacific Ocean operations (to include MacArthur’s) were combined operations. Each built on the previous battles’ mistakes and successes, culminating in a synchronicity exhibited in OPERATION ICEBERG (the battle for Okinawa).

Combined [JOINT] operations uniting land, naval, and air forces are a given in the present day. Despite inter-service “ribbing” and rivalry, the services understand that unity is the key to strategic defense and offense..
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**We need volunteers to drive our veterans to and from meetings. Please contact Don Patton at cell 612-867-5144 or** **coldpatton@yahoo.com**

**Round Table Schedule 2015-2016**

**2015**

 8 Oct. American Warlords

22 Oct.  Seals of WWII

12 Nov. War Crimes Trials

10 Dec. Carrier Operations in Pacific

**2016**

14 Jan. German-Russians Operations

11 Feb. Liberation of Concentration Camps

10 Mar. Mobilization for War

24 Mar. Birth of New Age of War

14 Apr. Operation Dragoon/Anvil

12 May Start of Cold War



